

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/344,982	02/02/82	CAIRNS	et al	6181
T BASIL P.	MANN		7 =	EXAMINER

BASIL P. MANN
MERRIAM, MARSHALL & BICKNELL
TWO FIRST NATL. PLAZA
CHICAGO, IL 60603

SPRINGER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

122

DATE MAILED:

. This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

GROUP 120

DEC 14 1982

		0K991 120
This a	pplication has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final.
A sharfan	ed statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	ha data af this tata.
	respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 1	
. U	Topola with the ported to respect with suggest the approach to be sold a suggest to be stored.	
Part I	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
L 💢	Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.	, PTO-948.
3.		Application, Form PTO-152
5.	Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 6.	
Part II	SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. ⊏⊽	Claims	_ are pending in the application.
>		are penang in the approacher.
	Of the above, claims	_ are withdrawn from consideration.
2.	Claims	have been cancelled.
3 ┌₩	Claims	are allowed.
3. 🗠	Claims	are anowed.
4.	Claims 1 - 14	are rejected.
7		
5.	Claims	are objected to.
6.	Claims are subject to r	restriction or election requirement.
_		
7.	This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes matter is indicated.	s until such time as allowable subject
8.	Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office	ce action.
(
9.	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These drawi	ngs are acceptable;
	not acceptable (see explanation).	
10	The Control of the co	wines filed on
10.	The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of draw has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	wings, filed on
	lias (liave) been approved by the examiner disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	· ·
11.	The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved dis	approved (see explanation). However,
	the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibil	ity to ensure that the drawings are
	corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attach	ed letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO
	EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	
.12.	Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has b	peen received not been received
~		j
	been filed in parent application, serial no. 476, 492; filed on 4/2	7
13.	Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution	as to the merits is closed in
	accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
14.	Other	
47.) Onler	

Claims 1-16 are pending.

Claims 15 & 16 are allowed.

Claims 1-5 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 USC 112, par. 1, as having inadequate descriptive support as to how make. Expart Moeisch, 104 U.S.P.Q. 122; In re Howarth, 210 USPQ 689. Sources of starting materials wherein R₅ - R₈ = hyd‡roxy, mixed alkoxy, and or/amino, are how here shown.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 USC 112, par. 1, as lacking reasonable assurance as to how to use. Only the compounds of claims 15 and 16 have been tested and shown by subsequent affidavit evidence as useful in the utility alleged. Use of the other compounds claimed as anti-allergics is speculative especially as many have not been made.

Claim 7 directed to a Markush group of species all purportedly useful as anti-allergics (community of *Common properties) is inadequately supported as only two compounds therein embraced have been so tested.

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 USC 112, Par. 2, as indefinite. The term "alkyl", "alkenyl and alkoxy are indefinite as the upper limit there of is not set forth.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Albrecht et al and Yamagouchi in view of Connor
et al. Albrecht et al and Yamagouchi both teach 3 Carboxylic
quinol-4-ones.Conner et al teach anti-allergic use of analogous
benzo pyrano pyridine 3-carboxylic acids and esters. The
compounds and their use are prima facie obvious.

DSpringer:adj

A/C 703

557-3032

12-2-82

DAVID B. SPRINGER
EXAMINER
ART LINIT 122